CAPITAL INVESTMENT BUSINESS CASE New Data Centre Migration Costs



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Executive Summary is a short summary of the Business Case and should be the last section you complete, this will enable you to extract or only the key facts from relevant sections i.e. 'project on a page'. The summary is a 'snapshot' of the business case which will need to tell the story and sell the proposal. key notes

This investment proposal covers the one off costs of migration between the Delt operated existing data centre in Windsor House and the new data centre to replace this. The move has been necessitated by the decision to decant services from Windsor House in preparation for disposal. The new data centre will operate at lower costs than those we are currently able to reach with our current configuration, the savings in operating cost will be used to pay back the cost of migration.

key risks

The most significant risk to this proposal is the prospect of the cost to migrate increasing.

SECTION I: P	ROJECT DETAIL		
Project Value (indicate capital or revenue)	£0.221m capital	Contingency (show as £ and % of project value)	£44k – 25%
Programme	Transforming Council Services	Directorate	Transformation & Change
Portfolio Holder	Cllr Kelly	Service Director	Andy Ralphs
Senior Responsible Officer (client)	Peter Honeywell	Project Manager	John Finch
Address and Post Code	Ballard House PLI 2AA	Ward	Citywide

Current Situation: (Provide a brief, concise paragraph outlining the current situation and explain the current business need, problem, opportunity or change of circumstances that needs to be resolved)

Delt support PCCs requirement for resilient data centre services through operating across 2 sites in the city. One of these sites is in the basement at Windsor House and the other is in the Plymouth Science Park. The sites are linked by networks and managed for resilience such that if one site suffers a failure the other can pick up the work load.

The commitment to move services from Windsor House in order to allow the building to be commercially disposed of requires that the data centre operating from this site is moved.

Proposal: (Provide a brief, concise paragraph outlining your scheme and explain how the business proposal will address the current situation above or take advantage of the business opportunity) **and** (What would happen if we didn't proceed with this scheme?)

Delt have considered options for the replacement of the data centre and concluded that the most cost effective solution is to move to a single new highly resilient data centre. The new capability will offer resilience comparable to that provided by our current set up. However the use a single vendor operating at scale provides savings to Delt which will be passed through to PCC.

The work to procure the new service and manage the transition will be the responsibility of Delt to complete. PCC are being asked to confirm the capital contribution required to deliver the savings.

The ongoing costs for the provision of the data centre are covered in existing budgets and are therefore excluded from this case.

Milestones and Date:							
Contract Award Date	Start On Site Date	Completion Date					
I5 October 2021	29 October 2021	25 March 2022					
Dates to be managed by Delt							

SECTION 2: PROJECT RISK, OUTCOMES AND BENEFITS

Risk Register: The Risk Register/Risk Log is a master document created during the early stages of a project. It includes information about each identified risk, level of risk, who owns it and what measures are in place to mitigate the risks (cut and paste more boxes if required).

Potential Risks Identified			Likelihood	Impact	Overall Rating	
Risk	Risk The costs estimated increase as the project progresses from design to implementation				Low	Low
Mitigation	Maintain a conti	for costs to increase	Low	Low	Low	
Calculated risk value in £ C£100k (Extent of financial risk)						

Outcomes and Benefits

List the outcomes and benefits expected from this project.

(An **outcome** is the result of the change derived from using the project's deliverables. This section should describe the anticipated outcome)

(A **benefit** is the measurable improvement resulting from an outcome that is perceived as an advantage. Benefits are the expected value to be delivered by the project, measurable whenever possible)

Financial outcomes and benefits:	Non-financial outcomes and benefits:
By comparison to continuing to operate 2 sites within Plymouth this proposal will save £137k per year.	The benefit of not having to facilities manage a data centre contained within a regular office building will reduce the risk of power and temperature management creating a failure in the data centre.

Low Carbon	
What is the anticipated	
impact of the proposal on carbon emissions	The project lacks the technical expertise to calculate the carbon emission impact but this solution will operate few racks of servers in a more efficient environment which should reduce the carbon consumption compared to our current operations.

How does it contribute to the Council becoming Carbon neutral by 2030	The migration from Windsor House will reduce the Councils carbon footprint as this building is energy inefficient. Whilst the new data centre operation is not carbon neutral it will help reduce the overall level of emissions by 2022					
Have you engaged with Pro	curement	Service?	No			
Procurement route options considered for goods, services or works Procurements Recommended route. Who is your Procurement Lead?	This is a procurement responsibility undertaken by Delt					
Is this business case a purch	ase of a co	ommercial property	No			
If yes then provide evidence that it is not 'primarily for y						
Which Members have you engaged with and how have they been consulted (including the Leader, Portfolio Holders and Ward Members)	Portfolio	Holder (Clir Kelly)				

SECTION 4: FINANCIAL ASSESSMENT

FINANCIAL ASSESSMENT: In this section the robustness of the proposals should be set out in financial terms. The Project Manager will need to work closely with the capital and revenue finance teams to ensure that these sections demonstrate the affordability of the proposals to the Council as a whole.

CAPITAL COSTS AND FINANCING								
Breakdown of project costs including fees	Prev. Yr.	21/22	22/23	23/24	24/25	25/26	Future Yrs.	Total
surveys and contingency	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m	£m
One off migration costs to set up new data centre		0.221						0.221
Total capital spend		0.221						0.221

Provide details of proposed funding: Funding to match with Project Value									
Breakdown of proposed funding Yr. £m £m £m £m £m £m £m £m £m £									
Budget code 4050			0.024	0.024	0.024	0.024	0.144	0.240	
Total funding			0.024	0.024	0.024	0.024	0.144	0.240	

Which external	N/A
funding sources	
been explored	

Are there any bidding constraints and/or any restrictions or conditions attached to your funding	N/A
Tax and VAT implications	The Council has opted to tax Windsor House and as this cost would be as a result of making Windsor House ready to sell, any VAT incurred is recoverable.
Tax and VAT reviewed by	Julliet Russell

REVENUE COSTS AND IMPLICATIONS					
Cost of Developing the Capital Project (To be incurred at risk	to Service area)				
Total Cost of developing the project	Project costs covered by Delt				
Revenue cost code for the development costs	N/A				
Revenue costs incurred for developing the project are to be included in the capital total, some of the expenditure could be capitalised if it meets the criteria	N				
Budget Managers Name	N/A				

Ongoing Revenue Implications for Service Area							
	Prev. Yr.	21/22 £	22/23 £	23/24 £	23/24 £	25/25 £m	Future Yrs.
Service area revenue cost							
Other (eg: maintenance, utilities, etc)							
Loan repayment (terms agreed with Treasury Management)			0.024	0.024	0.024	0.024	0.144
Total Revenue Cost (A)							
			<u>'</u>				
Service area revenue benefits/savings							
Annual revenue income (eg: rents, etc)							
Total Revenue Income (B)							
Service area net (benefit) cost (B-A)							
Has the revenue cost been budgeted for or would this make a revenue pressure							
Which cost centre would the revenue pressure be shown	Has this been reviewed by the budget manager			Υ			
Name of budget manager	Peter H	oneywel	I				

Loan value	£221k	Interest Rate	1.5%	Term Years	10	Annual Repayment	£23,964k
Revenue code for annual repayments			4050				
Service area or corporate borrowing			Service area				
Revenue in by	mplications	reviewed					

Version Control: (The version control table must be updated and signed off each time a change is made to the document to provide an audit trail for the revision and update of draft and final versions)

Author of Business Case	Date	Document Version	Reviewed By	Date
Peter Honeywell	08/09/21	v 1.0		00/00/2021
	00/00/202 I	v 2.0		00/00/2021

SECTION 6: RECOMMENDATION AND ENDORSEMENT

Recommended Decision

It is recommended that the Leader of the Council:

- Approves the Business Case
- Allocates £221k for the project into the Capital Programme funded by service area repayment

Councillor Nick Kelly, L	eader of the Council	Service Director		
Either email dated:	Date: 20 October 2021	Either email dated:	date	
Or signed:		Signed:		
Date:		Date:		
		Service Director		
		Andy Ralphs, Service Director of Customer and Corporate Services		
		Either email dated:	Date:01/09/2021	
		Signed:		
		Date:		